

ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL 1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7 (780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

## NOTICE OF DECISION 0098 611/10

Altus Group Ltd 17327 - 106A Avenue Edmonton AB T5S 1M7 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held November 23, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number	Municipal Address	Legal Description
4845756	7920 118 Avenue NW	Plan: 2436AB Block: 7 Lot: 1, etc.
Assessed Value	Assessment Type	Assessment Notice for:
\$527,000	Annual New	2010

### **Before:**

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer Tom Eapen, Board Member John Braim, Board Member

**Persons Appearing: Complainant** 

Chris Buchanan, Agent Altus Group Ltd.

## **Board Officer:**

J. Halicki

### **Persons Appearing: Respondent**

John Ball, Assessor Assessment and Taxation Branch

### **Observer:**

Ingrid Russell, ARB Staff

## PROCEDURAL MATTERS

The parties expressed no objection as to the composition of the CARB; Board Members expressed no bias toward this or any of the other accounts appearing on the agenda. The parties were reminded they remained under oath.

# BACKGROUND

The subject property, located in the Eastwood subdivision, is a drive-in restaurant constructed in 1973. Both parties agree that the excess land portion of the subject is 9,310 ft<sup>2</sup>.

# **ISSUE**

What is the market value of the excess land portion of the subject property?

# **LEGISLATION**

### The Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s.467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

### POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant provided ten direct sales comparables, all located on major arterial roads, ranging in value per square foot from \$9.53 to \$22.21 (C1, pg. 13). The Complainant suggested that comparable #1 (8935 - 127 Avenue) at \$22.21/ft<sup>2</sup> and comparable #10 (12640 - 82 Street) at \$9.53/ft<sup>2</sup> were outliers. The average/requested value of the sales is \$14.07/ft<sup>2</sup>. The total value requested is \$405,500 from the current assessment of \$527,000.

## **POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT**

The Respondent presented two sales comparables which were valid sales. The sales indicate a direct, time-adjusted sales value of  $27.07/\text{ft}^2$  and  $36.76/\text{ft}^2$  (R1, pg. 24). The Respondent indicated that the sale at 9502 - 118 Avenue was the best indicator at  $36.76/\text{ft}^2$ .

### **DECISION**

The decision of the Board is to confirm the 2010 assessment at \$527,000.

### **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

The Board is of the opinion that the sales as presented by the Complainant were not as comparable to the subject in terms of location to produce a range of values that would support a reduction in the assessment.

The Respondent's sales/equity comparables appear to support the subject's excess land value. Particularly, the sale at 9502 - 118 Avenue was the most representative of the subject property with sales/equity values per square foot of \$36.76 and \$36.08 respectively.

# **DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS**

There were no dissenting opinions.

Dated this tenth day of December, 2010 A.D., at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Presiding Officer

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board City of Edmonton, Assessment and Taxation Branch Chiro Foods Ltd.